

The Ohio Statesman

MARYANN & MILLER, Publishers.
GEO. W. MARYANN, Editor.
COLUMBUS, OHIO.

THURSDAY MORNING, SEPT. 19, 1861.

Democratic Union Nominations.

FOR GOVERNOR.

Hugh J. Jewett,
of Muskingum.

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR.

John G. Marshall,
of Hamilton.

SUPREME JUDGE.

THOMAS J. SMITH,
of Montgomery.

TREASURER OF STATE.

GEORGE W. HOLMES,
of Hamilton.

SECRETARY OF STATE.

WILLIAM W. ARMSTRONG,
of Seneca.

COMPTROLLER.

WAYNE GRISWOLD,
of Pickaway.

BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS.

JAMES W. FITCH,
of Cuyahoga.

FOR COMMON PLEAS JUDGE.

HENRY N. HEDGES,
of Pickaway.

STATE SENATOR.

AUGUSTUS L. PERKINS,
of Pickaway.

UNION DEMOCRATIC COUNTY TICKET.

REPRESENTATIVES.

GEORGE L. CONVERSE,
of Cuyahoga.

OTTO DRESSEL,
of Cuyahoga.

SENATOR.

GEORGE W. HUFFMAN,
of Cuyahoga.

AUDITOR.

MATTHIAS MARTIN,
of Cuyahoga.

TREASURER.

JOHN G. THOMPSON,
of Cuyahoga.

RECORDS.

NATHAN COLE,
of Cuyahoga.

COMMISSIONER.

JACOB SLY,
of Cuyahoga.

CORONER.

ELIAS GAVER,
of Cuyahoga.

INFIRMARY DIRECTOR.

PHILEMON HESS,
of Cuyahoga.

Mass Meeting at Marion.

Gov. SAMUEL MEDARY will address the Mass Meeting at Marion, in Marion county, on Saturday, September 21st, at 1 P. M.

AMOS LAYMAN,
Secretary Dem. State Ex. Com.

Democratic Union Mass Meetings.

The Democratic Union State Executive Committee have made arrangements for holding Mass Meetings as follows:

At CINCINNATI, Coleridge county, on Tuesday, September 24th, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. Hugh J. Jewett and others.

At MANSFIELD, Richland county, on Wednesday, September 25th, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. H. J. Jewett and Hon. B. B. Davis.

At WOOSTER, Wayne county, on Thursday, September 26th, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. H. J. Jewett and others.

At CLEVELAND, Cuyahoga county, on Friday evening, September 27th, Speakers—Hon. H. J. Jewett and others.

At TOLEDO, Lucas county, on Saturday, September 28th, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. H. J. Jewett and others.

At JACKSON C. H., Jackson county, on Saturday, September 28th, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. John G. Marshall and others.

Other meetings will be announced soon.

SAMUEL MEDARY, Ch'n.

AMOS LAYMAN, Secretary.

The Democratic Union State Executive Committee announce the following additional appointments for Mass Meetings, viz:

In CINCINNATI, Monday evening, September 30th, Speakers—Hon. Hugh J. Jewett and Hon. Allen G. Thurman.

At AMESIA, Clermont county, on Tuesday, October 1st, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. Hugh J. Jewett and Hon. Allen G. Thurman.

At GOSPORTS, Brown county, on Wednesday, October 3rd, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. Hugh J. Jewett and Hon. Allen G. Thurman.

At HILLSBORO, Highland county, on Thursday, October 4th, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. Hugh J. Jewett and Hon. Allen G. Thurman.

At CHILLICOTHE, Ross county, on Friday, October 4th, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. Hugh J. Jewett and Hon. Allen G. Thurman.

At CINCINNATI, Monday evening, on Saturday, October 5th, at 1 P. M. Speakers—Hon. Hugh J. Jewett and Hon. Allen G. Thurman.

SAMUEL MEDARY, Ch'n.

AMOS LAYMAN, Sec'y.

The Union Democracy of Franklin County.

We have had the pleasure in the last few days to see and converse freely with men from many of the townships of this county, and we do not recollect of ever hearing such earnest and determined talk. The necessity of preserving the organization and supremacy of the old National Union Democracy, appears to be appreciated by all we have seen.

We predict a larger and more united vote of the Democracy of this county than we have had for years. The cry of "No party" from those who have fought the Democracy so bitterly heretofore, is properly appreciated.

One of the delegates to the "Union Convention" offered a resolution to catch the candidates on the Union Democratic ticket, and it was unanimously adopted, to the effect that the vote-pollers, amongst the resolutions, and it was not put to the Convention. Another or delegate was rejected by the committee on Credentials, because he had introduced a similar proposition as to some of the candidates on our ticket, though he had been elected by a decided majority of the people of his township.

New Announcements.

The reader will find in this morning's Statesman announcements of additional Democratic meetings. The central and local committees in the counties where these meetings are to be held, are desired to give due notice of and make the necessary arrangements for the same.

The No-Party Party Unmasked.

The friends which we daily hear about, cutting down all party associations until the war is over, are transparent impostors. The man who uses such language, must be conscious of his insincerity; for their own actions give the lie to their professions of no-partyism. They are the most zealous and active of party men. They have gone to work in Ohio, formed a new party, and christened it the "Union Party." They have laid down a platform, nominated a State ticket, and are holding meetings and making local county nominations all over the State, thus perfecting a thorough party organization.

Names do not alter things. A political organization with a platform and ticket is as much a party if its adherents call it the Union or People's party, as it is under the name of Democratic or Republican. A religious sect may call itself Christian; but that does not take away its sectarian character. Neither can a political party, by assuming the name of American or Union, disrobe itself of the partisan uniform.

Men have an undoubted right, in a free country like ours, to forsake their old political organizations, when convinced that they are rotten or injurious to the country, and form as many new ones as they please. But they have no right to delude the people by pretending to be no-party men, and insisting that they are better Union men than others who refuse to unite with their new party.

At the time the late Republican party was dissolved, and the new party formed, the old Union Democratic party was in the field with its platform and its candidates. No issue was taken by the new party with this platform. Its State Convention met on the 5th of September, and adopted for the main, and only substantial plank in its platform, almost word for word, the fifth resolution adopted by the Democratic State Convention of the 7th of August.

That the reader may see at a glance the identity of the two resolutions, we here insert them.

The fifth resolution adopted by the Union Democratic State Convention of the 7th of August, reads as follows:

Resolved, That in this National emergency the Democracy and other Union men of Ohio, by publishing all feelings of passion or resentment, will recollect only their duty to the whole country; that this war should not be waged in any spirit of oppression, nor for any purpose of conquest or subjugation, nor for the purpose of overthrowing or interfering with the rights or established institutions of the States; but to defend and maintain the supremacy of the Constitution and to preserve the Union, with all the dignity, equality and rights of the several States unimpaired; and as soon as these objects are accomplished, the war ought to cease.

The second and principal resolution adopted by the new No-party State Convention on the 5th of September, is in the words following:

Resolved, That in this National emergency we banish all feeling of mere passion or resentment, and will recollect only our duty to the whole country; that this war was not waged in any spirit of oppression or for any purpose of conquest or subjugation, nor for the purpose of overthrowing or interfering with the rights or established institutions of the States; but to defend and maintain the supremacy of the Constitution, and to preserve the Union, with all the dignity, equality and rights of the several States unimpaired; and as soon as these objects are accomplished, the war ought to cease.

The 5th of September Convention did not express a word of dissent from any of the views or principles in the resolutions of the Union Democratic Convention of the 7th of August, and the principal resolution in the platform of the latter.

On the score of principle, then, or in regard to the policy of the Administration, or the conduct of the war, or the management of our own State affairs, the god-fathers and leaders of the new No-party ticket did not differ with the Union Democratic party. Did they want a more staunch Union party? Not a bit of it; for they adopted precisely the same Union resolution as the Union Democratic had adopted in State Convention a month before. Their object clearly was to get up a party strife about men, to distract and divide the Union men of the State on the mere and most trifling of all party issues—a strife in which no question of principle is concerned, but merely a question as to the election of particular individuals to office.

The Union Democratic candidates had been nominated and their names published all over the State a month before the No-party ticket was formed. Nobody doubted or now pretends to doubt that in capacity, integrity, and devotion to the Union cause, they are fully equal to the nominees on the No-party ticket. Why, then, after the Republican organization had disbanded, was this new ticket presented to the people, if not to distract and divide the Democracy and other Union men of Ohio, and prevent our noble State, by a mere partisan contest about the spoils of office, from presenting an undivided front for the preservation of the Union and the Constitution?

Freemont's Blair.

We announced yesterday the military arrest of Frank P. Blair, of St. Louis. The affair is fully explained by the dispatches from St. Louis to-day. Blair, it seems, was now at the polls, and he was in a commanding position, often dictating to his superior, and supreme in his party at home. Fremont has not seen proper to consult Blair's wishes, and Blair has revolted.

With what various queries indicate that Blair, in view of Fremont's success, and probably ranked at his own military listlessness, was scheming at Washington for the removal of Fremont. It is said that letters lately from Blair to Gen. Fremont and to the President at St. Louis. In fact, the circumstances, as now given the public, present Blair in the character of a miserable, scheming demagogue. Fremont, however, is his man. He was no corner swayer of the facts, and he promptly ordered the brother of a member of the Cabinet into custody. He will not stop there.

If Blair has slandered or maliciously interfered with the duties of his General, he will be court-martialed and discharged from the service. This we have a right to expect of Fremont. He allows no man to stand in the way of his duty, not even Congressmen, and if Frank Blair is in the way, he will be removed; and he ought to be.—Cin. Times.

There are few men who have given any country so much trouble as this man FRANK BLAIR. He is ambitious, meddling and mischievous.

How these complications between the President, Fremont and Blair will end is not exactly clear, but it looks very much like there would be a smash-up somewhere. We do hope our country will not be the sufferer in the trouble.

The following from the New York Post, a strong Blair paper, indicates deep trouble. It is signed "Old Abe":

"NO MORE EXTORTION."

The Commander-in-Chief of the armies of the United States, who is at the same time its President, will not, we hope, that his official position be so low as to be dictated by his Cabinet; by Congress, by the Generals and by the people. He is the head of the nation, to which he is naturally looking for forward movement. But in the relations with which he is placed, he is not only a member of the Cabinet, but he is also a member of the Congress, and in his late modification of Fremont's order, it almost appears as if he desired to go backward. Fremont's position, which was not inconsistent with the act of Congress,

The No-Party Party Unmasked.

The friends which we daily hear about, cutting down all party associations until the war is over, are transparent impostors. The man who uses such language, must be conscious of his insincerity; for their own actions give the lie to their professions of no-partyism. They are the most zealous and active of party men. They have gone to work in Ohio, formed a new party, and christened it the "Union Party." They have laid down a platform, nominated a State ticket, and are holding meetings and making local county nominations all over the State, thus perfecting a thorough party organization.

Names do not alter things. A political organization with a platform and ticket is as much a party if its adherents call it the Union or People's party, as it is under the name of Democratic or Republican. A religious sect may call itself Christian; but that does not take away its sectarian character. Neither can a political party, by assuming the name of American or Union, disrobe itself of the partisan uniform.

Men have an undoubted right, in a free country like ours, to forsake their old political organizations, when convinced that they are rotten or injurious to the country, and form as many new ones as they please. But they have no right to delude the people by pretending to be no-party men, and insisting that they are better Union men than others who refuse to unite with their new party.

At the time the late Republican party was dissolved, and the new party formed, the old Union Democratic party was in the field with its platform and its candidates. No issue was taken by the new party with this platform. Its State Convention met on the 5th of September, and adopted for the main, and only substantial plank in its platform, almost word for word, the fifth resolution adopted by the Democratic State Convention of the 7th of August.

That the reader may see at a glance the identity of the two resolutions, we here insert them.

The fifth resolution adopted by the Union Democratic State Convention of the 7th of August, reads as follows:

Resolved, That in this National emergency the Democracy and other Union men of Ohio, by publishing all feelings of passion or resentment, will recollect only their duty to the whole country; that this war should not be waged in any spirit of oppression, nor for any purpose of conquest or subjugation, nor for the purpose of overthrowing or interfering with the rights or established institutions of the States; but to defend and maintain the supremacy of the Constitution and to preserve the Union, with all the dignity, equality and rights of the several States unimpaired; and as soon as these objects are accomplished, the war ought to cease.

The second and principal resolution adopted by the new No-party State Convention on the 5th of September, is in the words following:

Resolved, That in this National emergency we banish all feeling of mere passion or resentment, and will recollect only our duty to the whole country; that this war was not waged in any spirit of oppression or for any purpose of conquest or subjugation, nor for the purpose of overthrowing or interfering with the rights or established institutions of the States; but to defend and maintain the supremacy of the Constitution, and to preserve the Union, with all the dignity, equality and rights of the several States unimpaired; and as soon as these objects are accomplished, the war ought to cease.

The 5th of September Convention did not express a word of dissent from any of the views or principles in the resolutions of the Union Democratic Convention of the 7th of August, and the principal resolution in the platform of the latter.

On the score of principle, then, or in regard to the policy of the Administration, or the conduct of the war, or the management of our own State affairs, the god-fathers and leaders of the new No-party ticket did not differ with the Union Democratic party. Did they want a more staunch Union party? Not a bit of it; for they adopted precisely the same Union resolution as the Union Democratic had adopted in State Convention a month before. Their object clearly was to get up a party strife about men, to distract and divide the Union men of the State on the mere and most trifling of all party issues—a strife in which no question of principle is concerned, but merely a question as to the election of particular individuals to office.

The Union Democratic candidates had been nominated and their names published all over the State a month before the No-party ticket was formed. Nobody doubted or now pretends to doubt that in capacity, integrity, and devotion to the Union cause, they are fully equal to the nominees on the No-party ticket. Why, then, after the Republican organization had disbanded, was this new ticket presented to the people, if not to distract and divide the Democracy and other Union men of Ohio, and prevent our noble State, by a mere partisan contest about the spoils of office, from presenting an undivided front for the preservation of the Union and the Constitution?

Freemont's Blair.

We announced yesterday the military arrest of Frank P. Blair, of St. Louis. The affair is fully explained by the dispatches from St. Louis to-day. Blair, it seems, was now at the polls, and he was in a commanding position, often dictating to his superior, and supreme in his party at home. Fremont has not seen proper to consult Blair's wishes, and Blair has revolted.

With what various queries indicate that Blair, in view of Fremont's success, and probably ranked at his own military listlessness, was scheming at Washington for the removal of Fremont. It is said that letters lately from Blair to Gen. Fremont and to the President at St. Louis. In fact, the circumstances, as now given the public, present Blair in the character of a miserable, scheming demagogue. Fremont, however, is his man. He was no corner swayer of the facts, and he promptly ordered the brother of a member of the Cabinet into custody. He will not stop there.

If Blair has slandered or maliciously interfered with the duties of his General, he will be court-martialed and discharged from the service. This we have a right to expect of Fremont. He allows no man to stand in the way of his duty, not even Congressmen, and if Frank Blair is in the way, he will be removed; and he ought to be.—Cin. Times.

There are few men who have given any country so much trouble as this man FRANK BLAIR. He is ambitious, meddling and mischievous.

How these complications between the President, Fremont and Blair will end is not exactly clear, but it looks very much like there would be a smash-up somewhere. We do hope our country will not be the sufferer in the trouble.

The following from the New York Post, a strong Blair paper, indicates deep trouble. It is signed "Old Abe":

"NO MORE EXTORTION."

The Commander-in-Chief of the armies of the United States, who is at the same time its President, will not, we hope, that his official position be so low as to be dictated by his Cabinet; by Congress, by the Generals and by the people. He is the head of the nation, to which he is naturally looking for forward movement. But in the relations with which he is placed, he is not only a member of the Cabinet, but he is also a member of the Congress, and in his late modification of Fremont's order, it almost appears as if he desired to go backward. Fremont's position, which was not inconsistent with the act of Congress,

The No-Party Party Unmasked.

The friends which we daily hear about, cutting down all party associations until the war is over, are transparent impostors. The man who uses such language, must be conscious of his insincerity; for their own actions give the lie to their professions of no-partyism. They are the most zealous and active of party men. They have gone to work in Ohio, formed a new party, and christened it the "Union Party." They have laid down a platform, nominated a State ticket, and are holding meetings and making local county nominations all over the State, thus perfecting a thorough party organization.

Names do not alter things. A political organization with a platform and ticket is as much a party if its adherents call it the Union or People's party, as it is under the name of Democratic or Republican. A religious sect may call itself Christian; but that does not take away its sectarian character. Neither can a political party, by assuming the name of American or Union, disrobe itself of the partisan uniform.

Men have an undoubted right, in a free country like ours, to forsake their old political organizations, when convinced that they are rotten or injurious to the country, and form as many new ones as they please. But they have no right to delude the people by pretending to be no-party men, and insisting that they are better Union men than others who refuse to unite with their new party.

At the time the late Republican party was dissolved, and the new party formed, the old Union Democratic party was in the field with its platform and its candidates. No issue was taken by the new party with this platform. Its State Convention met on the 5th of September, and adopted for the main, and only substantial plank in its platform, almost word for word, the fifth resolution adopted by the Democratic State Convention of the 7th of August.

That the reader may see at a glance the identity of the two resolutions, we here insert them.

The fifth resolution adopted by the Union Democratic State Convention of the 7th of August, reads as follows:

Resolved, That in this National emergency the Democracy and other Union men of Ohio, by publishing all feelings of passion or resentment, will recollect only their duty to the whole country; that this war should not be waged in any spirit of oppression, nor for any purpose of conquest or subjugation, nor for the purpose of overthrowing or interfering with the rights or established institutions of the States; but to defend and maintain the supremacy of the Constitution and to preserve the Union, with all the dignity, equality and rights of the several States unimpaired; and as soon as these objects are accomplished, the war ought to cease.

The second and principal resolution adopted by the new No-party State Convention on the 5th of September, is in the words following:

Resolved, That in this National emergency we banish all feeling of mere passion or resentment, and will recollect only our duty to the whole country; that this war was not waged in any spirit of oppression or for any purpose of conquest or subjugation, nor for the purpose of overthrowing or interfering with the rights or established institutions of the States; but to defend and maintain the supremacy of the Constitution, and to preserve the Union, with all the dignity, equality and rights of the several States unimpaired; and as soon as these objects are accomplished, the war ought to cease.

The 5th of September Convention did not express a word of dissent from any of the views or principles in the resolutions of the Union Democratic Convention of the 7th of August, and the principal resolution in the platform of the latter.

On the score of principle, then, or in regard to the policy of the Administration, or the conduct of the war, or the management of our own State affairs, the god-fathers and leaders of the new No-party ticket did not differ with the Union Democratic party. Did they want a more staunch Union party? Not a bit of it; for they adopted precisely the same Union resolution as the Union Democratic had adopted in State Convention a month before. Their object clearly was to get up a party strife about men, to distract and divide the Union men of the State on the mere and most trifling of all party issues—a strife in which no question of principle is concerned, but merely a question as to the election of particular individuals to office.

The Union Democratic candidates had been nominated and their names published all over the State a month before the No-party ticket was formed. Nobody doubted or now pretends to doubt that in capacity, integrity, and devotion to the Union cause, they are fully equal to the nominees on the No-party ticket. Why, then, after the Republican organization had disbanded, was this new ticket presented to the people, if not to distract and divide the Democracy and other Union men of Ohio, and prevent our noble State, by a mere partisan contest about the spoils of office, from presenting an undivided front for the preservation of the Union and the Constitution?

Freemont's Blair.

We announced yesterday the military arrest of Frank P. Blair, of St. Louis. The affair is fully explained by the dispatches from St. Louis to-day. Blair, it seems, was now at the polls, and he was in a commanding position, often dictating to his superior, and supreme in his party at home. Fremont has not seen proper to consult Blair's wishes, and Blair has revolted.

With what various queries indicate that Blair, in view of Fremont's success, and probably ranked at his own military listlessness, was scheming at Washington for the removal of Fremont. It is said that letters lately from Blair to Gen. Fremont and to the President at St. Louis. In fact, the circumstances, as now given the public, present Blair in the character of a miserable, scheming demagogue. Fremont, however, is his man. He was no corner swayer of the facts, and he promptly ordered the brother of a member of the Cabinet into custody. He will not stop there.

If Blair has slandered or maliciously interfered with the duties of his General, he will be court-martialed and discharged from the service. This we have a right to expect of Fremont. He allows no man to stand in the way of his duty, not even Congressmen, and if Frank Blair is in the way, he will be removed; and he ought to be.—Cin. Times.

There are few men who have given any country so much trouble as this man FRANK BLAIR. He is ambitious, meddling and mischievous.

How these complications between the President, Fremont and Blair will end is not exactly clear, but it looks very much like there would be a smash-up somewhere. We do hope our country will not be the sufferer in the trouble.

The following from the New York Post, a strong Blair paper, indicates deep trouble. It is signed "Old Abe":

"NO MORE EXTORTION."

The Commander-in-Chief of the armies of the United States, who is at the same time its President, will not, we hope, that his official position be so low as to be dictated by his Cabinet; by Congress, by the Generals and by the people. He is the head of the nation, to which he is naturally looking for forward movement. But in the relations with which he is placed, he is not only a member of the Cabinet, but he is also a member of the Congress, and in his late modification of Fremont's order, it almost appears as if he desired to go backward. Fremont's position, which was not inconsistent with the act of Congress,

The No-Party Party Unmasked.

The friends which we daily hear about, cutting down all party associations until the war is over, are transparent impostors. The man who uses such language, must be conscious of his insincerity; for their own actions give the lie to their professions of no-partyism. They are the most zealous and active of party men. They have gone to work in Ohio, formed a new party, and christened it the "Union Party." They have laid down a platform, nominated a State ticket, and are holding meetings and making local county nominations all over the State, thus perfecting a thorough party organization.

Names do not alter things. A political organization with a platform and ticket is as much a party if its adherents call it the Union or People's party, as it is under the name of Democratic or Republican. A religious sect may call itself Christian; but that does not take away its sectarian character. Neither can a political party, by assuming the name of American or Union, disrobe itself of the partisan uniform.

Men have an undoubted right, in a free country like ours, to forsake their old political organizations, when convinced that they are rotten or injurious to the country, and form as many new ones as they please. But they have no right to delude the people by pretending to be no-party men, and insisting that they are better Union men than others who refuse to unite with their new party.

At the time the late Republican party was dissolved, and the new party formed, the old Union Democratic party was in the field with its platform and its candidates. No issue was taken by the new party with this platform. Its State Convention met on the 5th of September, and adopted for the main, and only substantial plank in its platform, almost word for word, the fifth resolution adopted by the Democratic State Convention of the 7th of August.

That the reader may see at a glance the identity of the two resolutions, we here insert them.

The fifth resolution adopted by the Union Democratic State Convention of the 7th of August, reads as follows:

Resolved, That in this National emergency the Democracy and other Union men of Ohio, by publishing all feelings of passion or resentment, will recollect only their duty to the whole country; that this war should not be waged in any spirit of oppression, nor for any purpose of conquest or subjugation, nor for the purpose of overthrowing or interfering with the rights or established institutions of the States; but to defend and maintain the supremacy of the Constitution and to preserve the Union, with all the dignity, equality and rights of the several States unimpaired; and as soon as these objects are accomplished, the war ought to cease.

The second and principal resolution adopted by the new No-party State Convention on the 5th of September, is in the words following:

Resolved, That in this National emergency we banish all feeling of mere passion or resentment, and will recollect only our duty to the whole country; that this war was not waged in any spirit of oppression or for any purpose of conquest or subjugation, nor for the purpose of overthrowing or interfering with the rights or established institutions of the States; but to defend and maintain the supremacy of the Constitution, and to preserve the Union, with all the dignity, equality and rights of the several States unimpaired; and as soon as these objects are accomplished, the war ought to cease.

The 5th of September Convention did not express a word of dissent from any of the views or principles in the resolutions of the Union Democratic Convention of the 7th of August, and the principal resolution in the platform of the latter.

On the score of principle, then, or in regard to the policy of the Administration, or the conduct of the war, or the management of our own State affairs, the god-fathers and leaders of the new No-party ticket did not differ with the Union Democratic party. Did they want a more staunch Union party? Not a bit of it; for they adopted precisely the same Union resolution as the Union Democratic had adopted in State Convention a month before. Their object clearly was to get up a party strife about men, to distract and divide the Union men of the State on the mere and most trifling of all party issues—a strife in which no question of principle is concerned, but merely a question as to the election of particular individuals to office.

The Union Democratic candidates had been nominated and their names published all over the State a month before the No-party ticket was formed. Nobody doubted or now pretends to doubt that in capacity, integrity, and devotion to the Union cause, they are fully equal to the nominees on the No-party ticket. Why, then, after the Republican organization had disbanded, was this new ticket presented to the people, if not to distract and divide the Democracy and other Union men of Ohio, and prevent our noble State, by a mere partisan contest about the spoils of office, from presenting an undivided front for the preservation of the Union and the Constitution?

Freemont's Blair.

We announced yesterday the military arrest of Frank P. Blair, of St. Louis. The affair is fully explained by the dispatches from St. Louis to-day. Blair, it seems, was now at the polls, and he was in a commanding position, often dictating to his superior, and supreme in his party at home. Fremont has not seen proper to consult Blair's wishes, and Blair has revolted.

With what various queries indicate that Blair, in view of Fremont's success, and probably ranked at his own military listlessness, was scheming at Washington for the removal of Fremont. It is said that letters lately from Blair to Gen. Fremont and to the President at St. Louis. In fact, the circumstances, as now given the public, present Blair in the character of a miserable, scheming demagogue. Fremont, however, is his man. He was no corner swayer of the facts, and he promptly ordered the brother of a member of the Cabinet into custody. He will not stop there.

If Blair has slandered or maliciously interfered with the duties of his General, he will be court-martialed and discharged from the service. This we have a right to expect of Fremont. He allows no man to stand in the way of his duty, not even Congressmen, and if Frank Blair is in the way, he will be removed; and he ought to be.—Cin. Times.

There are few men who have given any country so much trouble as this man FRANK BLAIR. He is ambitious, meddling and mischievous.

How these complications between the President, Fremont and Blair will end is not exactly clear, but it looks very much like there would be a smash-up somewhere. We do hope our country will not be the sufferer in the trouble.

The following from the New York Post, a strong Blair paper, indicates deep trouble. It is signed "Old Abe":

"NO MORE